



Due to harvest commitments of several committee members, this meeting was held as an out of session meeting. Responses were provided through a shared document.

#### Meeting 52 - Out of session meeting Record – shared on 27 October (due 9am Monday 22/11/21)

Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
4. Adoption of Meeting 51 draft minutes dated 13 <sup>th</sup> October 2021	Meeting 51 minutes amended as per responses from committee	Note that at 9a resumed the Chair (Amended)	No changes identified	No changes identified	Item 3- <b>Constant</b> or <b>Constant</b> to chair B/D session. ( <b>Constant</b> did chair as stated further on) (Amended)
5. Macquarie Modelling	Refer to Macquarie model reports and updated modelling summary	Endorse: Not required – endorsement given in Meeting 45, 11 <sup>th</sup> May 2021	Endorse: <b>N</b>	Endorse: Y or N No response received from NSWFA to 31/1/22	Endorse: Not required – endorsement given in Meeting 45, 11 <sup>th</sup> May 2021
6.	Returning submissions N080 – discussion of issues 5 to 10 to reach consensus (NSW Farmers Association only)	1. Endorse: Y or N Not applicable – decision recorded in Meeting 41, 23 <sup>rd</sup> March 2021 Reassessed with no changes based on information supplied plus the additional analysis.	1 <del>. Endorse: Y or N</del> Not applicable – decision recorded in Meeting 41, 23 <sup>rd</sup> March 2021 Have reversed position on increased pump rates due	<ul> <li>1. Endorse: N</li> <li>Issue 1 to 4 - endorsed</li> <li>Issue 5 to 10 - not endorsed</li> <li>as there is missing/mismatched evidence relating to engine/gearbox/pump specifications on each Issue</li> </ul>	1. <del>Endorse: Y or N</del> Not applicable – decision recorded in Meeting 41, 23 <sup>rd</sup> March 2021



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
	Refer to email from on 12/11/21	Support the Dept. Determination. Recommendation: Non consensus refer to Dept Sec.	further consideration of information provided	Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W More time to consider the case granted until 25/10/2021. Non-consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	
7.	N108 — — endorse proposed draft entitlement of 2008.25ML	2. Endorse: Y or N Y Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	2. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	2. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W <b>Not supported</b> - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	2. Endorse: <b>Y</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W
8.	N049 - endorse proposed draft entitlement of 2860.69ML	3. Endorse: Y or N Y	3. Endorse: N	3. Endorse: N	3. Endorse: Y



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
		Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W
9.	Contested submission N068 confirm previous decision with clarifying statement to explain why the pipe flow rates were not accepted/adjust decision based on previous example of pipe flow rate changes. The landholder would like to know why the engineers report was not accepted.	4. Endorse: N NOTHING FURTHER TO ADD TO REMARKS BELOW Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W Response to email from Dated: 3/11/21 Hi , my view was that the photos showed a part filled pipe showing FPH. Yes at maximum head the engineering report would be I assume, correct. However as flood heights	<ul> <li>4. Endorse: N</li> <li>Maintaining consensus decision made at meeting 51</li> <li>Email to Dated: 1/11/21</li> <li>My recollection of meeting 51 is that you did discuss the engineering report and had formed an opinion that you shared with the committee.</li> <li>The draft minutes do not reflect this discussion but it</li> </ul>	4. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	4. Endorse: Y only if the model and department engineers indicate that the stated head is the average head for the length of time the pipes are in a flood situation.



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
		vary over the course of the event the modelling took the approach that 200ml head was to be used to provide a consistent rate of take. I'm will get to my papers if it rains, we've been flat for about 10 days now.	should have been captured in the recording of the meeting. While we couldn't remember previous examples of decisions on pipe rates and has sent us two cases, I am interested in your position on this contested issue. I do not understand why there is ongoing discussion of the committee's decision between staff and a contractor. has been back to us numerous times. There seems to be no sunset on options for submissions to keep returning, even when the committee has reached a consensus decision		



Iter	n No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
				Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W		
10.		New submissions N048 Endorse proposed draft entitlement of 3284.25ML	5. Endorse: Y Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	5. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	5. Endorse: N Email to Dated: 28/10/2021 In relation to the new submissions and given the error apparent in the satellite image/hectare based claims I have sampled so far, I would appreciate any additional evidence please? N108 and other new submissions are in an area with comprehensive 1990's – 2000's mapping of irrigation works, largely between Breeza and Boggabri.	5. Endorse: <b>Y</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
				The Departments oblique photo series of the 98 floods is relevant to the subject timing and actual existence of irrigation works as well please	
				DPIE response Dated: 28/10/2021 Hi	
				<ul> <li>I have added the following documents to the 'Returning submissions' folder:</li> <li>Flood studies (folder)</li> <li>Borambil Gunnadilly - Floodplain Management Study</li> <li>Coomoo Coomoo &amp; Yarraman Creeks - Floodplain Management Study</li> <li>Red Bobs - Floodplain Management 1</li> </ul>	



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
	No. Landholder Requests				
				<ul> <li>Red Bobs - Floodplain Management Study Part 2</li> <li>Caroona to Breeza FMP</li> <li>Carroll to Bogbabri FMP</li> <li>Blackville FMS</li> <li>Lower Cox's Creek Floodplain risk study</li> <li>Upper Yarraman FMP</li> <li>I checked the current Upper Namoi FMP to find what flood studies had been referenced there. The documents added to the folder are based on that information. We do not have any other information available.</li> <li>I have also added a folder named 'Aerial images' which contains aerial images of both properties at various dates.</li> <li>Where it was available I</li> </ul>	



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
				In the 'New submissions' folder I have also included aerial images that I retrieved for each property. I attempted to find as many images between 1993 and 1999. If available, I have also included a 1998 image. The files are named using ROI number and date of imagery. Note: I am on leave next week, (including tomorrow) so if there are additional requests can you please include on the email?	
				Thank you Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	



Ite	m No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
					Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	
11		N065 Endorse proposed draft entitlement of 274.5ML	1. Endorse: Y Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	1. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	1. Endorse: N Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	1. Endorse: <b>Y</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W
12		N066 Endorse proposed draft entitlement of 1894ML	3. Endorse: Y Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	3. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	3. Endorse: N Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	3. Endorse: <b>Y</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W



Item No.	Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
13.	N067 Endorse proposed draft entitlement of 2493.875ML	2. Endorse: Y Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	2. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	2. Endorse: N Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	2. Endorse: <b>Y</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W
14.	N272 Endorse proposed draft entitlement of 358ML	1. Endorse: Y Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	1. Endorse: <b>N</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	1. Endorse: N Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W	1. Endorse: <b>Y</b> Remarks or request for additional information from NRAR/DPIE-W



#### Meeting minutes

	Submission No	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:	HRC member:
	Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests				
				Not supported - Non- consensus report received 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	
15.	Other Business	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

#### Actions arising from Meeting 52

Act	tion	Responsibility of
1.	Non-consensus brief to be prepared by the department for review by the Chief Executive Officer – Water (formerly the Deputy Secretary – Water)	DPE
	Reports to be provided to DPE from committee members for inclusion in non-consensus brief	Committee members by 11 <sup>th</sup> February 2022